

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/908,727		07/20/2001	Hisashi Ohtani	740756-2328	8954	
31780	7590	03/02/2005		EXAMINER		
ERIC ROBINSON				BOOTH, RICHARD A		
PMB 955 21010 SOU	JTHBANK	ST.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
POTOMA	C FALLS,	VA 20165		2812		
				DATE MAILED: 03/02/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			H·A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Comment	09/908,727	OHTANI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
TI MANUALO DATE (1)	Richard A. Booth	2812	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.
Status			
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 №</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	s action is non-final. nce except for formal ma		S
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-56 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawin	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in a prity documents have been tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1104</u>. 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 27, it is unclear how the semiconductor device can be one of the mentioned devices because the mentioned devices include many more components than simply a semiconductor layer. Clarification is requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-18, 25-26, and 28-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Morosawa, JP 07-038113.

Morosawa shows the invention as claimed including a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device comprising the steps of: forming a semiconductor film comprising silicon (2 3) over a substrate (see Drawing 1, Examples and abstract); oxidizing by thermal oxidation a surface of the semiconductor film to form an oxide thereon;

Art Unit: 2812

irradiating said semiconductor film with laser light for crystallizing said semiconductor film so that the oxide is in contact with the semiconductor film (see Examples Paragraph 009); removing an oxide film from the surface of the semiconductor film by etching using hydrofluoric acid; leveling the surface of the semiconductor film by heating in a nitrogen atmosphere with a concentration less than 10ppm oxygen; after removing said oxide film, forming a gate insulating film on the semiconductor film after leveling the surface of the semiconductor film (see the English translation in paragraphs 0007 to 0016).

Concerning claims 33-50, note that the patterning of the semiconductor film is performed after leveling the semiconductor film.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Application/Control Number: 09/908,727

Art Unit: 2812

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morosawa, JP 07-038113.

Morosawa does not expressly disclose that the semiconductor film is used in one of the mentioned devices. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the primary reference of Morosawa so as to have the semiconductor film be a part of one of the mentioned devices because commonly semiconductor devices containing semiconductor films are a part of these devices.

Claims 19-24 and 51-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morosawa, JP 07-038113 in view of Nakajima et al., U.S. Patent 5,712,191.

Morosawa is applied as above but fails to expressly disclose the laser light having a line shaped cross section elongated in one direction.

Nakajima et al. discloses a laser light having a line shaped cross section elongated in one direction (see col. 8-lines 38-50). In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the process of Morosawa so as to include the laser light of Nakajima et al. because Nakajima et al. shows such a laser light to be suitable for irradiating semiconductor films.

Art Unit: 2812

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard A. Booth whose telephone number is (571) 272-1668. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Lebentritt can be reached on (571) 272-1873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard A. Booth Primary Examiner Art Unit 2812

February 24, 2005